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#### Abstract

A priori error estimates in the maximum norm are derived for Galerkin approximations to solutions of two-point boundary value problems. The class of Galerkin spaces considered includes almost all (quasiuniform) piecewise-polynomial spaces that are used in practice. The estimates are optimal in the sense that no better rate of approximation is possible in general in the spaces employed.


1. Introduction. Consider the two-point boundary value problem

$$
-\left(a(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(x) y^{\prime}+d(x) y=f(x), \quad x \in I=(0,1), y(0)=y(1)=0,
$$

or, in weak form, the problem of finding $y \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a y^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)+\left(b y^{\prime}, v\right)+(d y, v)=(f, v), \quad v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To seek an approximate solution to the problem (1.1), consider a piecewisepolynomial spline space $M_{k}^{r},-1 \leqslant k<r$, defined as

$$
M_{k}^{r}=\left\{v \in C^{k}(I):\left.v\right|_{I_{i}} \in \Pi_{r}\left(I_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, N\right\} .
$$

Here, $I_{i}=\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right), 0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N-1}<x_{N}=1$, and $\Pi_{r}\left(I_{i}\right)$ denotes the set of polynomials on $I_{i}$ of degree not greater than $r$. It is assumed that, as the meshes vary, they are quasiuniform; i.e., with $h_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}$, there exists a constant $c_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{i, j} h_{i} h_{j}^{-1} \leqslant c_{0} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=\max _{i} h_{i}$.
The approximate solution $Y$ to (1.1) is sought in the space

$$
M=\grave{M}_{k}^{r}=M_{k}^{r} \cap\{v: v(0)=v(1)=0\}
$$

according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a Y^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)+\left(b Y^{\prime}, V\right)+(d Y, V)=(f, V), \quad V \in M \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, it is assumed that $0 \leqslant k<r$ so that $M \subset \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$.
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Assume throughout the remainder of the paper that
(i) $a(x) \geqslant c_{1}>0, x \in I$,
(ii) $a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}, d \in L_{\infty}$,
(iii) for all $f \in L_{2}$, there exists a unique $y \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$ satisfying (1.1).

From these assumptions it follows that (1.3) has a unique solution $Y \in M$ for $h$ sufficiently small (Schatz [5]; see also [3] for a proof). For $h$ sufficiently small it is known (Nitsche [4]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y-Y\|_{L_{2}}+h\|y-Y\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant c_{2} h^{r+1}\|y\|_{H^{r+1}}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ depends on the $L_{\infty}$-norms of the functions specified in assumption (ii). For simplicity, we shall also assume that the particular $y$ of (1.1) that we shall approximate is an element of $W_{\infty}^{r+1} \cap \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$.

Under the assumptions above, our result for the error in the maximum norm is:
Theorem 1.1. There exists a constant c

$$
c=c\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2},\|a\|_{W_{\infty}^{1}},\|b\|_{H^{1}},\|d\|_{L_{2}}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\|y-Y\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c h^{r+1}\|y\|_{W_{\infty}^{r+1}} .
$$

Theorem 1.1 was proved for $k=0$, i.e., continuous piecewise-polynomial splines in [6] and, in that case, without the assumption of quasiuniformity.

Outline of the Paper. In Section 2, the notation used is defined, and a basic extension lemma is proved. In Section 3, the problem is reduced to the special case when $a(x) \equiv 1, b(x) \equiv d(x) \equiv 0$. In Section 4 , it is first noted that, in this case, the derivative of the elliptic projection $W$ of $y$ into $\stackrel{\circ}{M}_{k}^{r}$ is the $L_{2}$-projection of $y^{\prime}$ into $M_{k-1}^{r-1}$. An estimate for the error in the $L_{2}$-projection in the maximum norm is derived, giving an estimate for $y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}$. The proof of this estimate uses the extension lemma to prove that the $L_{2}$-projection of a function of small support decreases rapidly outside that support. The estimate for $y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}$ then gives an estimate for $y-W$ via a duality argument.

Remark 1.1. The result (4.4) below (stability in the maximum norm of the $L_{2^{-}}$ projection) also gives estimates for the error in the maximum norm for smooth spline interpolation, see [1, Lemma 2.1].
2. Notation and an Extension Lemma. For an open interval $J$, let $H^{s}(J)$ and $W_{p}^{s}(J)$ denote the closure of $C^{\infty}(\bar{J})$ in the norms

$$
\|v\|_{H^{s}(J)}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s}\left\|v^{(i)}\right\|_{L_{2}(J)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|v\|_{W_{p}^{s}(J)}=\sum_{i=0}^{s}\left\|v^{(i)}\right\|_{L_{p}(J)},
$$

respectively. When $J=I=(0,1)$, we drop the dependence on the interval in the notation.

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant 2^{1 / 2}\|v\|_{H^{1}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{s}(J)$ denote the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(J)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{s}(J)}$; then for $v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant 2^{1 / 2}\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(v, w)$ denote $\int_{0}^{1} v(x) w(x) d x$, and for $i, l, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, let .

$$
I(i, l, m)=\left(I_{i-l} \cup I_{i-l+1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{i+m}\right) \cap I
$$

where $\cdots, I_{-2}, I_{-1}, I_{0}, I_{N+1}, \cdots$ are arbitrarily defined.
The letters $c$ and $C$ will denote constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence unless indexed.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the following lemma, which allows us to construct appropriate piecewise-polynomial extensions.

Lemma 2.1. Given $r$ and $k,-1 \leqslant k<r$, and $M_{k}^{r}$ subject to (1.2), there exist constants $n=n(k, r) \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $c=c\left(c_{0}, k, r\right)$ such that, given $V \in \Pi_{r}\left(I_{i}\right)$, there exists a function $f_{i} \in M_{k}^{r}$ such that

$$
f_{i}=V \text { on } I_{i} ; \quad \operatorname{supp} f_{i} \subset I(i, n, n) ; \quad\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant c\|V\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)} .
$$

Proof. The case $k=-1$ is trivial, since we can set $f_{i}=0$ outside $I_{i}$. Assume $0 \leqslant k$ $<r$. We consider the problem of extending $V$ to the right of $I_{i}$ to fulfill the conditions of the lemma. Let $(k+1) /(r-k)=n-\sigma$, where $n$ is an integer and $0 \leqslant \sigma<1$. Put $s=$ $\sigma(r-k)$. Assume for simplicity that $i+n<N$.

Define $f \in M_{k}^{r}\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$ (in obvious notation) by the requirements

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \equiv 0 \quad \text { outside } I_{i+n},  \tag{2.3}\\
f^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right)=V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad l=0, \cdots, k,  \tag{2.4}\\
f^{(l)}\left(x_{i+n}\right)=0, \quad l=0, \cdots, k+s . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We must show that these requirements determine $f$ on $\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$. Let

$$
\left.f\right|_{I_{m}}=\sum_{j=0}^{r} f_{j, m}\left(x-x_{m-1}\right)^{j}, \quad m=i+1, \cdots, i+n .
$$

We have $n(r+1)$ coefficients to determine and the requirements

$$
\begin{gather*}
l!f_{l, i+1}=V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad l=0, \cdots, k,  \tag{2.6}\\
\sum_{i=0}^{r} j(j-1) \cdots(j-l+1) f_{j, m}\left(x_{m}-x_{m-1}\right)^{j-l}-l!f_{l, m+1}=0,  \tag{2.7}\\
l=0, \cdots, k ; m=i+1, \cdots, i+n-1, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} j(j-1) \cdots(j-l+1) f_{j, i+n}\left(x_{i+n}-x_{i+n-1}\right)^{i-l}=0,  \tag{2.8}\\
\quad l=0, \cdots, k+s,
\end{gather*}
$$

to fulfill. These requirements total

$$
\begin{aligned}
k+1+(n-1)(k+1)+k+s+1 & =n(k+1)+(k+s+1) \\
& =n(r+1)+n(k-r)+k+s+1=n(r+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $s=\sigma(r-k)=n(r-k)-(k+1)$.
Hence, it suffices to show that if $V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, l=0, \cdots, k$, then $f \equiv 0$. For this, consider the continuous function $f^{(k)}$ : This function is a piecewise polynomial of degree not greater than $r-k$. On each of $\bar{I}_{i+2}, \cdots, \bar{I}_{i+n-1}$ where $f^{(k)} \not \equiv 0$, it has at most $r-k$ roots. Similarly, if $f^{(k)} \not \equiv 0$ on the open interval $I_{i+1}$, it has at most $r-k-1$ roots there, and on $I_{i+n}$, it has at most $r-k-s-1$ roots. Altogether, on subintervals where $f^{(k)} \not \equiv 0$, it has at most

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-2)(r-k)+r-k-1+r-k-s-1 & =n(r-k)-2-s \\
& =(n-\sigma)(r-k)-2=k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

roots not coinciding with $x_{i}$ or $x_{i+n}$. Hence, we can find a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $k-1$ such that

$$
f^{(k)}(x) p(x) \geqslant 0, \quad x_{i} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{i+n}, \quad \text { and } \quad f^{(k)}(x) p(x)>0 \quad \text { if } f^{(k)}(x) \neq 0
$$

However, by repeated partial integration, we find that, since $f^{(j)}\left(x_{i}\right)=f^{(j)}\left(x_{i+n}\right)=0$, $j \leqslant k$,

$$
\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+n}} f^{(k)} p d x=0
$$

Thus, $f^{(k)} \equiv 0$, and $f \equiv 0$. Hence, (2.3)-(2.5) determine $f \in M_{k}^{r}\left(x_{i}, 1\right)$.
To establish the norm inequality of the lemma, multiply (2.6)-(2.8) by $h_{m+1}^{l}$ $\left(h_{m+1}=x_{m+1}-x_{m}\right)$. The corresponding linear system of equations for the quantities $g_{j, m}=f_{j, m} h_{m}^{j}$ is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
l!g_{l, i+1}=V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right) h_{i+1}^{l}, \quad l=0, \cdots, k  \tag{2.6}\\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} j(j-1) \cdots(j-l+1)\left(\frac{h_{m+1}}{h_{m}}\right)^{l} g_{j, m}-l!g_{l, m+1}=0,  \tag{2.7}\\
l=0, \ldots, k ; m=i+1, \ldots, i+n-1, \\
\sum_{j=0}^{r} j(j-1) \cdots(j-l+1) g_{j, i+n}=0, \quad l=0, \cdots, k+s . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the determinant of this system is never zero, and since, by (1.2), $h_{m+1} / h_{m}$ varies over a compact interval, it follows that there exists a constant $c=\left(c_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\max _{m, j}\left|f_{j, m} h_{m}^{j}\right| \leqslant c \max _{l}\left|V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right) h_{i+1}^{l}\right| .
$$

Since
and

$$
\|f\|_{L_{2}\left(\left(x_{i}, x_{i+n}\right)\right)} \leqslant c h^{1 / 2} \max _{m, j}\left|f_{j, m} h_{m}^{j}\right|
$$

$$
\max _{l}\left|V^{(l)}\left(x_{i}\right) h_{i}^{l}\right| \leqslant c h_{i}^{-1 / 2}\|V\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)},
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{2}\left(x_{i}, 1\right)} \leqslant c\|V\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply the analogous construction leftwards; this concludes the proof.
3. Comparison of Different Elliptic Projections. We shall consider three different elliptic projections, $Y, Z$, and $W$, of the solution $y$ of (1.1) into $M={ }_{M}^{\circ} r$. Here, $Y$ is given by (1.3) or, equivalently, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a\left(y^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right), V^{\prime}\right)+\left(b\left(y^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right), V\right)+(d(y-Y), V)=0, \quad V \in M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Z$ and $W$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a\left(y^{\prime}-Z^{\prime}\right), V^{\prime}\right)=0, & V \in M  \tag{3.2}\\
\left(y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)=0, & V \in M \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the bilinear forms corresponding to (3.2) and (3.3) are positive definite on $M, Z$ and $W$ are defined. We shall prove that the three elliptic projections defined above differ in $H^{1}$ by $O\left(h^{r+1}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a constant c,

$$
c=c\left(c_{1}, c_{2},\|b\|_{H^{1}},\|d\|_{L_{2}}\right)
$$

such that $\|Y-Z\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant c\|y-Y\|_{L_{2}}$.
Proof (cf. [6]). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(a\left(y^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right), V^{\prime}\right)+\left(b\left(y^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right), V\right)+(d(y-Y), V) \\
& =\left(a\left(Z^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right), V^{\prime}\right)+\left((y-Y), d V-(b V)^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $V=Z-Y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left\|Z^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} & \leqslant\|y-Y\|_{L_{2}}\left(\|d\|_{L_{2}}\|Z-Y\|_{L_{\infty}}+\|b\|_{L_{\infty}}\left\|Z^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}+\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}\|Z-Y\|_{L_{\infty}}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(\|d\|_{L_{2}}+\|b\|_{L_{\infty}}+\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}\right)\|y-Y\|_{L_{2}}\left\|Z^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.2. There exists a constant $c, c=c\left(c_{0}, c_{1},\|a\|_{W_{\infty}^{1}}\right)$, such that $\|Z-W\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant c h\|y-W\|_{H^{1}}$.

Proof. Let $\vartheta=Z-W$. From the definitions of $Z$ and $W$, we see that for $\chi \in M$,

$$
c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant\left(a \vartheta^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime}\right)=\left(a(y-W)^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}, a \vartheta^{\prime}-\chi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}$ has zero average value, we can use instead of $\chi^{\prime}$ any $\nu \in M^{*}=M_{k-1}^{r-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vartheta^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant c\|y-W\|_{H^{1}} \inf _{\nu \in \mathbb{M}^{*}}\left\|a \vartheta^{\prime}-\nu\right\|_{L_{2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the result, it suffices to show that for $V \in M^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\nu \in M^{*}}\|a V-\nu\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant c h\|V\|_{L_{2}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish (3.5), we first remark that there is a constant $c$ such that, if $W \in C^{k-1}(I)$ and $\left.W\right|_{I_{i}} \in H^{r}\left(I_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\nu \in M^{*}}\|W-\nu\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant c h^{r}\left\|W^{(r)}\right\|_{L_{2}}:=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|W^{(r)}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is easily seen by adding a function $\nu_{1} \in M^{*}$ to $W$ so that $W+\nu_{1} \in H^{r}$ and then noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{\nu \in M^{*}}\|W-\nu\|_{L_{2}} & =\inf _{\nu \in M^{*}}\left\|W+\nu_{1}-\nu\right\|_{L_{2}} \\
& \leqslant c h^{r}\left\|\left(W+\nu_{1}\right)^{(r)}\right\|_{L_{2}}=c h^{r}\left\|W^{(r)}\right\|_{L_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, note that there exists a function $\psi \in M^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a-\psi\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c\left\|a^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} h \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi^{(l)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c\left\|a^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} h^{1-l}, \quad l=1,2, \cdots, r-1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

this is easily seen by modifying $a$ and applying an estimate like (4.1) of the next section.

Thus, from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we see that for $V \in M^{*}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{\chi \in M}\|a V-\chi\|_{L_{2}} & \leqslant\|(a-\psi) V\|_{L_{2}}+\inf _{x \in M^{*}}\|\psi V-\chi\|_{L_{2}} \\
& \leqslant c h\|V\|_{L_{2}}+c h^{r} \sum_{l=1}^{r-1}\left\|\psi^{(l)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\left\|V^{(r-l)}\right\|_{L_{2}} \\
& \leqslant c h\|V\|_{L_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the quasiuniformity of the mesh to estimate the terms $\left\|V^{(r-l)}\right\|_{L_{2}}$.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is sufficient, as a consequence of the reduction of the last section, to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case $a \equiv 1, b \equiv d \equiv 0$. We begin by summarizing the approximation-theoretic properties of the space $M_{l}^{s},-1 \leqslant l<s$, that we need.

Lemma 4.1 (DE BOOR [2]). There exists a constant $c$ such that, if $u \in W_{\infty}^{s+1}$ and $v \in W_{1}^{2} \cap \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$, there exists $\chi \in M_{l}^{s}$ and $\psi \in \stackrel{\circ}{M}_{l}^{s}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u-\chi\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c h^{s+1}\|u\|_{W_{\infty}^{s+1}},  \tag{4.1}\\
\|v-\psi\|_{W_{1}^{1}} \leqslant c h\|v\|_{W_{1}^{2}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $P u$ denote the $L_{2}$-projection of a function $u \in L_{2}$ into $M_{l}^{s},-1 \leqslant l<s$, defined by

$$
(P u-u, V)=0, \quad V \in M_{l}^{s}
$$

Lemma 4.2. There exists a constant $c=c\left(c_{0}\right)$ such that, given $u \in W_{\infty}^{s+1}$,

$$
\|P u-u\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c h^{s+1}\|u\|_{W_{\infty}}^{s+1}
$$

The proof of this lemma is postponed until the end of this section.
Remark 4.1. It is easily seen by duality using Lemma 4.2 that $P$ gives optimal approximation in the $L_{1}$-norm. It then follows from interpolation that $P$ gives optimal approximation in any $L_{p}$-norm, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.

Lemma 4.3. There exists a constant $c=c\left(c_{0}\right)$ such that, given $y \in W_{\infty}^{r+1} \cap \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}$, and with $W$ defined by (3.3),

$$
\|y-W\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c h^{r+1}\|y\|_{W_{\infty}^{r+1}}
$$

Proof. Since $\left(y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}, 1\right)=0$, (3.3) implies that $W^{\prime}$ is the $L_{2}$-projection of $y^{\prime}$ into $M_{k-1}^{r-1}$. By Lemma 4.2, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c h^{r}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{W_{\infty}}^{r} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply a duality argument [4]. Given $g \in L_{1}$, let $G$ be such that $G^{\prime \prime}=$ $-g, G(0)=G(1)=0$. Then

$$
(y-W, g)=\left(y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}, G^{\prime}-\chi^{\prime}\right), \quad \chi \in M .
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\chi$ can be chosen so that

$$
|(y-W, g)| \leqslant c h\left\|y^{\prime}-W^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\|g\|_{L_{1}}
$$

and it follows from (4.3) that

$$
\|y-W\|_{L_{\infty}}=\sup _{\|g\|_{L_{1}}=1}|(y-W, g)| \leqslant c h^{r+1}\|y\|_{W_{\infty}^{r+1}}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have (cf. (3.2), (3.3))

$$
\|y-Y\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant\|y-W\|_{L_{\infty}}+\|Y-Z\|_{L_{\infty}}+\|Z-W\|_{L_{\infty}} .
$$

Using (2.1), Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 4.3, and (1.4) and its counterpart for $y-W$, the theorem follows.

It remains tó prove Lemma 4.2.
Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $\chi$ be as in (4.1). Since $P \chi=\chi$, we have

$$
\|P u-u\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant\|u-\chi\|_{L_{\infty}}+\|P(u-\chi)\|_{L_{\infty}},
$$

and hence it suffices to show that there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P u\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c\|u\|_{L_{\infty}}, \quad u \in L_{\infty} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u=\Sigma_{i=1}^{N} u_{i}$, where

$$
u_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}u(x), & x \in I_{i} \\ 0, & x \notin I_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Consider $P u_{i}$ on $I_{m}, m<i$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $f_{i, m} \in M_{l}^{S}$ which agrees with $P u_{i}$ on $I_{m}$, and satisfies

$$
\left\|f_{i, m}\right\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant c\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{m}\right)} .
$$

Since the $L_{2}$-projection $P u_{i}$ minimizes the $L_{2}$-norm of the difference $V-u_{i}$ for $V$ in $M_{l}^{S}$, and since $u_{i}=0$ outside $I_{i}$, it follows that $P u_{i}$ minimizes the $L_{2}\left(\left(0, x_{m-1}\right)\right)$-norm of elements of $M_{l}^{S}$ agreeing with $P u_{i}$ on $I_{m}$. Thus,

$$
\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\left(0, x_{m-1}\right)\right)}^{2} \leqslant\left\|f_{i, m}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \leqslant c_{4}\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2}, \quad m \leqslant i .
$$

Hence, with $p_{i, m}=\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{m}\right)}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha<m} p_{i, \alpha} \leqslant c_{4} p_{i, m}, \quad m \leqslant i . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i, m} \geqslant c_{4}^{-1}\left(1+c_{4}^{-1}\right)^{m-q-1} p_{i, q}, \quad 0 \leqslant q<m \leqslant i, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we proceed to show by induction. Assume that (4.6) holds for $q, m$ such that $0 \leqslant q<m \leqslant L$. (Note that for $L=1$, i.e., $m=1$ and $q=0$, (4.6) is immediate from (4.5).) For any $q<L+1$, we then obtain by (4.5) and the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i, L+1} & \geqslant c_{4}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \leqslant L} p_{i, \alpha} \geqslant c_{4}^{-1}\left(\sum_{q<\alpha \leqslant L} p_{i, \alpha}+p_{i, q}\right) \\
& \geqslant c_{4}^{-1} p_{i, q}\left(\sum_{q<\alpha \leqslant L} c_{4}^{-1}\left(1+c_{4}^{-1}\right)^{\alpha-q-1}+1\right) \\
& =c_{4}^{-1} p_{i, q}\left(1+c_{4}^{-1}\right)^{(L+1)-q-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This establishes (4.6).
A similar result holds for intervals to the right of $I_{i}$, and, taking $m=i$ in (4.6), we find that there exist positive constants $c$ and $C$, depending only on $c_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{q}\right)} \leqslant C e^{-c|i-q|}\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P u$ is a polynomial of fixed degree on $I_{q}$, we have

$$
\|P u\|_{L_{\infty}\left(I_{q}\right)} \leqslant c h_{q}^{-1 / 2}\|P u\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{q}\right)} .
$$

Using this, (1.2), (4.7), and the fact that $\|P u\|_{L_{2}} \leqslant\|u\|_{L_{2}}$, we have, for any $q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|P u\|_{L_{\infty}\left(I_{q}\right)} & \leqslant c h_{q}^{-1 / 2}\|P u\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{q}\right)} \leqslant c h_{q}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i}\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{q}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C h_{q}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i} e^{-c|i-q|}\left\|P u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)} \leqslant C h_{q}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i} e^{-|i-q|}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L_{2}} \\
& \leqslant C h_{q}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i} e^{-c|i-q|} h_{i}^{1 / 2}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqslant c\|u\|_{L_{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (4.4) and establishes the lemma.
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